

Option 1: Address Maintenance Needs at Current Buildings

- Address Maintenance items at all buildings
- Address accessibility items at all buildings
- Pursue referendum to address all items as a coordinated effort

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Maintain all and build a pool
- Renovation can be added to this without expansion
- Educational need would not be met
- The enrollment is dropping, maintain do not build
- Possible, but least desirable
- Stepped approach

0 Green Blank Papers

6 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- Close Consolidated
- Need cost on all schools - before building new school
- Educational need would not be met
- We cannot continue to pour dollars into aging facilities
- We need to address space issues and realign grade levels in all buildings
- Maintenance alone and unmet educational needs = lose - lose
- This just puts things off and will be most expensive in the long run
- We need to do more than maintain

7 Pink Blank Papers

15 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 2A: Maintain Current Grade Level Configuration

- Significantly renovate and expand the existing High School Building to accommodate modern learning environments (Grades 9-12)
- Significantly renovate existing Middle School and expand the building to accommodate modern learning environments (Grades 7-8)
- Maintain current grade level configurations at all buildings
- Renovate and expand each elementary to accommodate modern learning (Grades K-3)
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Most cost effective way to fix and update buildings and modernize spaces. Flat enrollment doesn't warrant new buildings.
- I would be for this, but not necessarily renovate each school
- Price out this option
- Need maintenance cost on schools we have first
- Feasible

0 Green Blank Papers

5 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- Again, this option does not address our students' needs
- Why do you have to expand? Why not renovate and repurpose existing space?
- 6-8 model needs to be incorporated
- Band aid - temporary solution
- Cost too high to renovate

9 Pink Blank Papers

14 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 2B: Reconfigure Grade Levels

- Significantly renovate and expand the existing High School Building to accommodate modern learning environments (Grades 9-12)
- Significantly renovate existing Middle School and expand the building to accommodate modern learning environments (Grades 7-8)
- Shift Sixth Grade from Northside Intermediate to the expanded Middle School
- Shift Third grade to Northside Intermediate (Grades 3-5) to free space at Elementary Schools
- Renovate space at Consolidated, East, West and Harmony Elementary to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-2
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Very possible
- 6/8 Educationally sound
- Price this option

1 Green Blank Paper

4 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- Not sure about grade configuration
- Significant costs with renovations
- Is the Middle School a safe (physically) place for
- The High School has been expanded one too many times already.
- Not high on grade reconfiguration
- Reconfiguration of grades should be driven by student learning NOT by existing buildings

7 Pink Blank Papers

13 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 3A: Maintain Current Grade Level Configuration

- Significantly renovate and expand the existing High School Building to accommodate modern learning environments (Grades 9-12)
- Construct a smaller new Middle School building to maintain current grade level configurations adjacent to the existing High School building (Grade 7-8). Configure the building to allow some sharing of facilities between High School and Middle School
- Maintain current grade level configurations at all buildings
- Renovate and expand space at Consolidated East, West and Harmony Elementaries to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-3
- Selective renovation at existing Middle School to accommodate MECAS and Community Programming
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- No Written Comments

1 Green Blank Paper

2 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- Maintaining will only increase cost overtime
- This option not fully address our space issues nor will it provide necessary space for 21st Century Learning
- 4K not developmentally appropriate even with third grade
- Need to reconfigure grade levels!
- New building I should be a High School
- New Middle Schools don't attract open enrollment options
- Shouldn't maintain grade levels for the sake of maintaining grade levels. Needs to be driven by student needs

9 Pink Blank Papers

16 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 3B: Reconfigure Grade Levels

- Significantly renovate and expand the existing High School Building to accommodate modern learning environments (Grades 9-12)
- Construct a larger new Middle School building adjacent to the existing High School building (Grade 6-8). Configure the building to allow one sharing of facilities between High School and Middle School
- Shift Sixth Grade to the New Middle School Building
- Shift Third Grade to Northside Intermediate (Grades 3-5) to free space at Elementary Schools.
- Renovate space at Consolidated East, West and Harmony Elementaries to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-2
- Close current Middle School Building - reserve for future capacity needs
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Addresses deplorable Middle School conditions
- Like sharing of facilities
- 6-8 is developmentally appropriate

1 Green Blank Paper

4 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- K4 doesn't belong with "older" kids
- If a building is built, it should be a High School
- Existing High School more suitable for more structured learning (Middle/Jr. High is a better fit.) Build new High School that is extremely flexible.
- The only plus to this is the shared facilities of Middle School and High School
- Don't like closure of Middle School
- Need maintenance cost of all schools. Not closing Middle School

7 Pink Blank Papers

13 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 4A: Build a new High School; Convert Middle School to Elementary

- Build new High School (Grades 9-12) adjacent to existing High School
- Renovate existing High School to accommodate Middle School (Grades 6-8)
- Shift Sixth Grade from Northside Intermediate into Middle School
- Northside Intermediate to house Grades 4 and 5
- Renovate existing Middle School Building to become fifth Elementary School (Grades K-3)
- Renovate each elementary to accommodate modern learning (Grades K-3)
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- New fieldhouse, pool, turf
- Best option anything else is changing realities
- Good option, need to add fieldhouse to this
- Wonder about lots of extra space at Northside
- Build new school, renovate other schools. Close Consolidated School
- This makes the most sense!

CON'S

- 4-5 only seems odd
- For cost of new building, can do a lot of renovation and some expansion
- Learning does come from a new building, requires parents, teachers and community
- 4 changes in schools for students through their career difficult. Would staff be shared in some buildings?

Option 4A: Build a new High School; Convert Middle School to Elementary (CONTINUED)

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Good option except let's look at either closing Consolidated or putting all 4K students in our own buildings instead of farming them out to other businesses.
- New High School only options, long overdue
- New High School - facility expands potential for student learning and will attract new growth/residents

- A District's hub is it's High School

7 Green Blank Paper

17 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

2 Pink Blank Papers

6 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 4B: Build new High School; Realign Grade Levels at Five Elementaries

- Build new High School (Grades 9-12) adjacent to existing High School
- Renovate existing High School to accommodate Middle School (Grades 6-8)
- Move Sixth Grade from Northside Intermediate into Middle School
- Renovate existing Middle School into an Elementary School (Grades K-5)
- Convert Northside Intermediate into an Elementary School (Grades K-5)
- Add two (2) classrooms and Breakout/Student Collaboration space to Consolidated Elementary (Grades K-5)
- Renovate space at East, West and Harmony Elementary to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration space (Grades 4K-5)
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- 6-8 is developmentally compatible
- 6-8 is appropriate
- Impressed with the K-5 idea (smaller "community") create families and minimize the mob mentality

2 Green Blank Paper

5 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- 6-8 doesn't belong together
 - Don't like elementary Northside
 - 4K should not be with "big kids"
 - I don't support renovating the Middle School because of it's age (overall) and its aging mechanical systems
 - No new building to a PK-3 building
 - For cost of new building a lot of renovation and expansion could happen.
 - Don't like K5 alignment, too great of an age/developmental difference
 - Close Consolidated
 - Not a fan of K5
- 3 Pink Blank Papers

12 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 4C: Build new High School; Realign Grade Levels at Five Elementaries

- Build new High School (Grades 9-12) adjacent to existing High School
- Renovate existing High School to accommodate Middle School (Grades 6-8)
- Renovate space at Consolidated, East, West and Harmony Elementary to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-2
- Add one (1) classroom and Breakout/Student Collaboration space to Consolidated Elementary (Grades K-4)
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- No Comments

2 Green Blank Paper

2 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- Please lessen the transitions for children. Pre-K shouldn't be with older grades.
 - 5-8 Bleck
 - No on 5-8
 - 5-8 is NOT a good idea
 - For cost of new building a lot of renovation and expansion could occur
 - 5-8 not a good mix
 - 5-8th doesn't work
 - No new building for Consolidated
 - Think about the children in the buildings, not so much the buildings. Not a fan of Grade 3 with older grades
 - Middle School too large
 - 4K needs to remain in a pre-school setting
- 6 Pink Blank Papers

17 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 4D: Build New High School, Realign Grade Levels at Elementaries, Create Two Intermediate Schools

- Build new High School (Grades 9-12) adjacent to existing High School
- Renovate existing High School to accommodate Middle School (Grades 6-8)
- Renovate space at Consolidated, East, West and Harmony Elementary to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-2
- Renovate existing Middle School to become an Intermediate School (Grades 3-5)
- Shift one population from Northside Intermediate to second Intermediate; renovate areas to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces (Grades 3-5)
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Maybe not crazy about it but a lot better than some others.
- Possible
- No problems here
- 6-8 works well

0 Green Blank Paper

4 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS

CON'S

- For cost of new building a lot of renovation and expansion could occur
- No to Middle School use
- 3-5? Seems young to be mixed in
- You will have an extra building to maintain and another set of administration
- Need to open up Northside - only 2-years of students will fit.

6 Pink Blank Papers

11 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS

Option 4E: Build New High School, Repurpose Existing Middle School

- Build new High School (Grades 9-12) adjacent to existing High School
- Renovate existing High School to accommodate Middle School (Grades 6-8)
- Selective renovation at existing Middle School to accommodate MECAS and Community Programming
- Shift Third Grade to Northside Intermediate (Grades 3-5) to free space at Elementary Schools
- Renovate space at Consolidated, East, West and Harmony Elementary to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-2
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Possibly add more schools to MECAS Consolidation before later school care for various schools?
- Pretty good options
- Better reconfiguration of grade levels
- I like this plan the best if the tax base can support it. But then you have an extra building to maintain.
- Good

- Keep elementary at K-3, Northside 4.5
- 5 Green Blank Paper

11 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS**CON'S**

- Would the School District pay for Community Programming, another expense!
- Use dollars to renovate and expand instead
- What would the staffing and maintenance look like for this option? \$
- Uses mentioned for current Middle School would under-utilize that building.
- Need to open up Northside - only 2-years of students will fit.

- 2 Pink Blank Papers

7 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS**Option 4F: Build new High School; Close Middle School**

- Build new High School (Grades 9-12) adjacent to existing High School
- Renovate existing High School to accommodate Middle School (Grades 6-8)
- Shift Third Grade to Northside Intermediate (Grades 3-5) to free space at Elementary Schools
- Renovate space at Consolidated, East, West and Harmony Elementary to provide Breakout/Student Collaboration spaces and accommodate Grades 4K-2
- Close current Middle School building - reserve for future capacity needs
- Address maintenance items at all buildings

COMMENTS: PRO'S

- Good option for MMS
- Although not sure about future costs of re-opening MMS. Like Option 4E better
- Best option
- Tear down and/or renovate into Fire Dept.. Have joint Fire Dept. ad school referendum for vote
- Good to get rid of Middle School
- This has some potential but I'm not sure that holding onto the building would make sense.

- 1 Green Blank Paper

7 TOTAL PRO'S COMMENTS**CON'S**

- Utilize building that we have, don't close Middle School
- Use dollars to renovate and expand instead
- Mothballing doesn't work
- Future dollars re-opening of Middle school and future renovations after letting it sit.

- 4 Pink Blank Papers

8 TOTAL CON'S COMMENTS